
From: Logan Churchwell [REDACTED]
Sent: 5/25/2017 7:14:40 PM
To: a [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Keith - more on your concern

All of the below traffic from those guys can be true and we still have the opportunity to convert pushback into official confusion to justify our call for top-down overhaul. The fog of war favors the aggressor here.

Even better, the next report details the noncitizens admitting their status in their own words.

Logan C. Churchwell

Sent from my iPhone

On May 25, 2017, at 15:55, "a [REDACTED]" <a [REDACTED]> wrote:

Fyi

From: a [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 6:45 PM
To: Keith G. Damon
Cc: 'Reagan George'
Subject: Re: Keith - more on your concern

Keith, it's the best data available. If the fact a registrant was a citizen, their own system reported otherwise and kicked them off the rolls. Nobody has better data than we do. If there are false positives, it's state data and procedures that made them false positives. That alone makes it imperative to expose even the glitches.

But I'll have that fight any day of the week when they are also registering people who marked "no" on the citizen check box. That graphic is in the report.

From: Keith G. Damon
Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 5:23 PM
To: Christian Adams
Cc: 'Reagan George'
Subject: Re: Keith - more on your concern



I think we can all agree that list maintenance is problematic in Virginia. Since the Code does not closely specify what must be done and require GR accountability in actually maintaining the list, who knows what is being done and when it is being done. Lindberg's comments illustrate this problem.

I raised this issue not to express my unwillingness to participate but rather to express my concern that conclusions reached might be challenged. I am most interested that Edgardo Cortes states that people who were dropped who subsequently affirmed their citizenship will not be on the list which would appear to negate my concerns. What this says to me is that a person is sent the postcard which he fails to return (pays no attention to) which results in his registration being canceled. But, when the person finds out they are actually dropped then he is reinstated and his name goes off the list. The only problem with Edgardo's statement is that when current reports are produced (like the current one which has deletions as late as 4/27) it might reflect a period when the person has been dropped before he realizes that he was incorrectly dropped. The earlier large

report then should be pretty good for older deletions, assuming the GR's actually do what Edgardo says they should do upon re-registration.

I still cannot explain the two people that I researched. I still am uncertain as to why they are on the list when other corroborating information raises questions as to why they are on the list. I agree we cannot research all of the people.

As for your last email re prosecuting people who voted before they were dropped and then ignoring this law-breaking when they re-register, I believe that people who do re-register are claiming that they never were non-citizens and therefore no laws (illegally voting) were broken.

I will get an Excel spreadsheet created as soon as possible. I have already converted the pdf but the original pdf structure doesn't permit a simple conversion with one row of information for each person dropped. I have to do additional manipulations to eliminate many unneeded rows and to concatenate other rows..

Keith

Quoting Christian Adams [REDACTED]:

Here is another snip from the report squarely confronting the issue you raise (as well as my previous email):

